Managing Cross-Functional GTM Franchises: Best Practices in Aligning Sales, Customer Success, and Product Teams

Adish Rai

Account Manager
Amazon Web Services Inc., USA
rai.adish@gmail.com

Abstract:
Go-to-market organizations struggle with coordination across sales, customer success, and product teams when ownership boundaries remain unclear and handoffs lack structure. Traditional functional silos create friction as prospects transition between teams, resulting in inconsistent customer experiences, duplicated efforts, and revenue leakage. Cross-functional GTM franchises represent an organizational model where dedicated pods own defined market segments or customer cohorts throughout the entire lifecycle from acquisition through expansion. This paper examines practical frameworks for implementing franchise-based GTM structures, describing ownership models, handoff protocols, shared metrics, and coordination mechanisms that enable seamless customer experiences. The analysis covers squad composition, territory design, data integration requirements, and governance frameworks necessary for effective cross-functional alignment while maintaining individual team accountability.

Keywords: go-to-market; cross-functional teams; sales enablement; customer success; GTM alignment; revenue operations; account management; organizational design.

1. INTRODUCTION

Sales organizations traditionally operate through functional specialization with distinct teams handling prospecting, sales development, opportunity management, and post-sale customer success. Each function optimizes for specific metrics: marketing generates leads, sales converts opportunities, customer success drives retention and expansion. This structure creates natural handoff points where context transfer often fails [1].
Customers experience these handoffs as disconnects. Sales representatives describe capabilities and set expectations during the buying process. Upon contract signature, new team members from customer success inherit accounts without complete context regarding customer goals, technical requirements, political dynamics, or commitments made during negotiations. Implementation teams rediscover requirements already discussed multiple times [2].

Cross-functional GTM franchises address this challenge through integrated ownership. Rather than organizing teams by function, franchise models assign dedicated pods—cross-functional teams with full lifecycle accountability—responsibility for specific market segments, customer cohorts, or product lines. The term "franchise" in this organizational context refers to autonomous pods with end-to-end ownership, not legal franchise business models such as retail franchising. Each franchise includes representatives from sales, customer success, product management, and supporting functions who collectively own customer outcomes from initial contact through renewal and expansion [3].

This organizational approach trades some functional efficiency for improved customer experience and revenue outcomes. Organizations adopt cross-functional GTM alignment to improve operational coordination, reduce handoff friction, and support outcomes such as retention and expansion [4]. This paper provides practical guidance for organizations evaluating franchise-based GTM models and implementing the necessary coordination systems.

2. FUNDAMENTAL FRANCHISE MODELS

2.1 Segment-Based Franchises

Segment-based franchises organize teams around customer characteristics including company size, industry vertical, geographic region, or product adoption stage. A franchise might own all enterprise healthcare customers in the Northeast United States. The team includes sales development representatives generating pipeline, account executives managing opportunities, customer success managers driving adoption, and potentially solution architects providing technical expertise [5].

This model enables deep specialization in customer needs, buying processes, and industry dynamics. Representatives develop expertise in healthcare compliance requirements, procurement cycles, and use cases specific to medical organizations. The franchise becomes the organizational center of excellence for that segment.

An illustrative enterprise healthcare franchise might include one sales development representative, two account executives, two customer success managers, and shared access to a solutions architect. The pod meets weekly to review pipeline, coordinate customer outcomes, and align on expansion plays. Example franchise targets could include new bookings goals, retention thresholds, and net revenue retention ranges, with individual quotas laddering up to these collective goals and bonus accelerators tied to franchise performance. Challenges emerge in defining segment boundaries and managing edge cases. Customers growing from mid-market into enterprise tiers may transition between franchises, creating handoffs the model seeks to eliminate. Multi-national accounts spanning regions require coordination across geographic franchises.

2.2 Product-Line Franchises

Product-line franchises own specific offerings within a portfolio. A company with infrastructure, security, and analytics products might create separate franchises for each. Teams develop product expertise and manage customer relationships specifically around their designated solutions. This proves effective when products address distinct buyer personas or follow independent sales cycles [6].

Product franchises face coordination challenges when customers purchase multiple solutions. Account planning becomes complex when different franchises interact with the same customer. Without clear protocols, competing priorities emerge as each franchise pursues expansion opportunities within shared accounts.

2.3 Lifecycle-Stage Franchises

Some organizations maintain functional separation but create franchise-like coordination at specific lifecycle stages. New customer acquisition remains separate from existing customer growth, but dedicated pods form around major accounts or strategic initiatives. These "tiger teams" bring together cross-functional expertise for defined periods targeting specific outcomes [7].

This hybrid approach preserves functional structure while capturing franchise benefits for high-value situations. Organizations can deploy tiger teams for enterprise deals requiring coordinated effort across presales engineering, procurement, legal, and implementation planning. Upon contract signature, the tiger team may dissolve or transition into an account management franchise.

Table 1: Franchise Model Comparison

Model Type	Best Fit	Common Failure Mode	Mitigation Strategy	Key Enablement Requirement
Segment-Based	Organizations with distinct verticals or geographic markets	Customers outgrow segments, requiring handoffs between franchises	Define clear transition protocols and account ownership rules	Territory assignment rules, data unification across segments
Product-Line	Companies with independent product portfolios and separate buyer personas	Multiple franchises competing for same customer expansion	Establish account coordination protocols and shared expansion credits	Cross-product crediting rules, unified customer view
Lifecycle-Stage (Tiger Teams)	High-value strategic accounts requiring intensive coordination	Team dissolves before value delivery completes	Create sustained account management structure post-sale	Clear handoff protocols, sustained accountability frameworks

3. OWNERSHIP AND ACCOUNTABILITY

3.1 Shared Revenue Responsibility

Franchise effectiveness depends on shared accountability for outcomes. Rather than sales owning bookings, customer success owning retention, and product owning adoption, franchises share responsibility for comprehensive metrics including net revenue retention, customer lifetime value, and expansion revenue. This alignment eliminates finger-pointing when results disappoint [8].

Implementation requires metric definition across the customer lifecycle. Organizations should determine attribution when multiple team members contribute to expansion opportunities. Does the renewal credit belong to the original sales representative or the customer success manager who drove adoption? Clear rules prevent disputes and enable appropriate incentive alignment.

Compensation structures should reflect collective ownership. While individual contributors maintain personal quotas, franchise-level accelerators or bonuses reward collective performance. This encourages collaboration rather than optimizing individual metrics at team expense.

3.2 Decision Rights and Escalation

Franchises need clear decision-making authority to operate effectively. Teams need autonomy to make customer commitments, prioritize activities, and resolve conflicts without constant escalation. Organizations should define which decisions franchises can make independently versus those requiring management approval [9].

Pricing represents a common decision point. Can franchises offer custom discounts to close strategic deals? If so, within what parameters? Clear discount authorization levels prevent delays while maintaining pricing discipline. Similarly, product roadmap prioritization involves franchise input—their customer proximity provides valuable market feedback—but ultimate authority typically resides with product leadership.
Conflict resolution protocols are typically important when franchises disagree with functional leadership or other franchises. A franchise may advocate for features their customers need while product management prioritizes different capabilities based on broader market analysis. Escalation paths and decision-making frameworks prevent prolonged disputes that slow execution.

3.3 Territory and Account Assignment

Franchise models benefit from explicit rules governing territory design and account assignment. Organizations should define how new customers get allocated, what triggers account reassignment, and how to handle customers spanning multiple franchise boundaries. Ambiguity creates conflict and poor customer experience [10].

Assignment rules should consider factors including geographic coverage, industry expertise, relationship history, and capacity. An inbound lead from a healthcare company in Texas should route to the franchise with healthcare vertical expertise and Texas territory coverage. Automated routing based on predefined criteria eliminates delays and ensures consistent treatment.

Account transitions between franchises need structured handoff protocols. When mid-market customers grow into enterprise segments, smooth transitions preserve relationship continuity. The franchise transferring the account should provide comprehensive context including decision-maker relationships, technical architecture, expansion opportunities, and any outstanding issues.

4. COORDINATION MECHANISMS

4.1 Regular Cross-Functional Sync

Franchises maintain effectiveness through recurring coordination rituals. Weekly or bi-weekly syncs bring together all franchise members to review pipeline, discuss account strategy, surface blockers, and coordinate customer activities. These sessions replace separate functional team meetings that miss cross-team dependencies [11].

Effective sync meetings follow structured agendas focusing on customer outcomes rather than function-specific metrics. Rather than sales reporting pipeline and customer success reporting health scores separately, franchises review accounts holistically. Discussion centers on what customers need to achieve their goals and how the team coordinates to deliver that value.

Meeting cadence should match business rhythm. Enterprise franchises with long sales cycles may meet weekly, while transactional business franchises might sync daily. The key is sufficient frequency to maintain alignment without excessive meeting overhead.

4.2 Shared Planning and Forecasting

Franchises plan together rather than aggregating separate functional forecasts. Quarterly business reviews involve the entire franchise assessing performance, analyzing what worked, identifying improvement areas, and establishing priorities for the coming period. This joint planning surfaces opportunities that siloed planning misses [12].

Account planning represents a critical franchise activity. Rather than sales developing account plans in isolation, franchises conduct joint planning sessions mapping account org structures, identifying stakeholder priorities, analyzing competitive threats, and defining expansion plays. Customer success contributes adoption insights while sales brings competitive intelligence and product provides roadmap visibility.

Forecasting becomes more accurate when franchises collaborate. Sales pipeline visibility combines with customer success signals regarding renewal risk and expansion readiness. The franchise develops comprehensive revenue projections incorporating new business, renewals, and expansion rather than separate forecasts that miss interdependencies.

4.3 Collaborative Tools and Workspaces

Technology infrastructure should support franchise collaboration. Shared workspaces in tools like Slack, Microsoft Teams, or collaboration platforms enable asynchronous coordination. Each franchise maintains dedicated channels where members share customer insights, coordinate on upcoming activities, and resolve questions without scheduling meetings [13].

CRM systems should provide visibility across the franchise. Customer success managers need access to sales opportunity data to understand pipeline for their accounts. Sales representatives should see customer health scores, support tickets, and adoption metrics. Unified customer records eliminate information asymmetry that creates misalignment.

Documentation standards ensure knowledge transfer. Franchises should maintain shared repositories for account plans, customer personas, competitive intelligence, and proven playbooks. When team members join or leave the franchise, this documentation preserves institutional knowledge and accelerates onboarding.

5. HANDOFF PROTOCOLS

5.1 Sales-to-Customer Success Transition

The transition from sales to customer success is often one of the most critical handoffs in franchise models. While both teams belong to the same franchise, formal handoff protocols ensure complete context transfer. Organizations should define specific triggers initiating handoff—typically contract signature or first payment—and required information for successful transfer [14].

Minimum viable handoff content includes customer business objectives, technical requirements, key stakeholder contacts with roles and priorities, success metrics agreed upon during the sales process, and any special commitments or terms. Standardized templates ensure consistent information capture regardless of which sales representative owns the opportunity.

Live handoff meetings where sales and customer success jointly engage with the customer prove more effective than documented transfers. The sales representative introduces the customer success manager, reviews implementation plans, and confirms mutual understanding of success criteria. The customer experiences this as thoughtful transition planning rather than abrupt representative changes.

5.2 Customer Success-to-Expansion Sales

As customers achieve value and expansion opportunities emerge, coordination between customer success and sales becomes critical. Customer success managers typically identify upsell or cross-sell possibilities through adoption monitoring and customer conversations. Clear protocols govern when and how to engage sales resources [15].

Some organizations maintain distinct expansion roles within franchises. Account managers focus exclusively on growing existing customers while new business representatives hunt net-new opportunities. This specialization allows account managers to develop deep customer relationships and expansion expertise without the pressure to generate new pipeline.

Commission structures should align with collaborative expansion. When customer success identifies an opportunity and account management closes it, both should receive credit. This encourages customer success to actively surface expansion possibilities rather than protecting accounts from sales interference.

5.3 Product Feedback Loops

Franchises serve as primary conduits for product feedback flowing from customers to product management. Customer-facing team members observe which features customers request, which capabilities drive adoption, and which limitations create friction. Structured mechanisms capture and route this intelligence to product teams [16].

Regular franchise-to-product sync meetings allow franchises to present aggregate customer feedback. Rather than random feature requests from individual representatives, franchises consolidate input across their customer cohort. Product teams receive higher-signal feedback on patterns affecting multiple customers.

Product managers should participate in franchise planning activities. Understanding franchise priorities and customer challenges helps product teams make informed roadmap decisions. This two-way communication ensures product development aligns with market needs that customer-facing teams observe directly.

6. METRICS AND PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT

6.1 Franchise-Level Dashboards

Franchises require unified dashboards presenting holistic performance across acquisition, retention, and expansion. Traditional sales dashboards show pipeline and bookings while customer success dashboards track health scores and churn risk. Franchise dashboards integrate these views alongside metrics like net revenue retention, customer lifetime value, time-to-value, and expansion rate [17].

Dashboard design should emphasize actionable insights over vanity metrics. Rather than simply displaying total pipeline, show pipeline quality indicators like alignment to ideal customer profile, engagement levels,

and competitive displacement risk. For customer success, show adoption trends predicting renewal likelihood rather than lagging health scores.
Real-time visibility enables proactive management. Franchises monitoring daily or weekly metrics can address issues before they compound. A sudden drop in product usage signals potential churn risk, allowing customer success to intervene. Sales pipeline fluctuations trigger marketing support or sales leadership coaching.

6.2 Individual Contributor Performance

While franchises own collective outcomes, individual performance management remains important. Organizations should balance franchise accountability with recognition of individual contributions. Representative-level metrics should align with but not conflict with franchise goals [18].
Sales representatives maintain individual quotas but receive bonus multipliers based on franchise performance. Customer success managers have adoption and retention targets for their assigned accounts while contributing to franchise-wide expansion objectives. This structure rewards both individual excellence and collaborative team success.
Performance reviews should incorporate franchise contribution assessments. Managers evaluate how effectively representatives collaborate with franchise teammates, share insights, coordinate customer activities, and support collective goals. This feedback reinforces franchise culture and discourages siloed optimization.

6.3 Leading and Lagging Indicators

Effective franchise metrics combine leading indicators predicting future outcomes with lagging indicators measuring realized results. Pipeline coverage and sales cycle velocity serve as leading indicators for revenue. Product adoption rates and support ticket trends predict renewal outcomes. Franchises monitoring leading indicators can adjust tactics before lagging metrics deteriorate [19].
Common lagging metrics include booked revenue, renewed contracts, and churned accounts. Leading metrics track activities driving these outcomes: customer meetings conducted, stakeholder relationships developed, product features activated, and business reviews completed. Franchises falling short on leading indicators should intensify activities before lagging metrics suffer.
Metric relationships require analysis. Does increasing customer meetings correlate with higher renewal rates? Do specific adoption milestones predict expansion readiness? Franchises should develop intuition for which leading indicators most reliably forecast outcomes in their segment and adjust focus accordingly.

7. ENABLING INFRASTRUCTURE

7.1 Data Integration and Systems

Franchise success depends on integrated technology infrastructure. Customer data should flow seamlessly between CRM systems managing sales pipeline, customer success platforms tracking adoption, support ticketing systems logging issues, and product analytics measuring usage. Disconnected systems create information silos undermining franchise collaboration [20].
Revenue operations teams typically own systems integration. They configure data flows, establish master customer records, define field mappings, and maintain data quality. Clean, accessible data enables franchises to develop comprehensive customer understanding and make informed decisions.
API integrations prove superior to manual data transfer. When sales representatives close deals, opportunities should automatically create customer success onboarding tasks. Support tickets should surface in sales dashboards alerting representatives to customer issues. These automated workflows eliminate manual handoffs and reduce errors.

7.2 Enablement and Training

Franchise team members require cross-functional training beyond their primary discipline. Sales representatives benefit from understanding customer success methodologies and product capabilities. Customer success managers need awareness of sales processes and competitive positioning. Product managers should grasp customer-facing team workflows and constraints.

Organizations should invest in franchise-specific enablement. New team members joining franchises need onboarding covering the customer segment, competitive landscape, proven playbooks, and team operating cadences. This specialized training complements general sales or customer success fundamentals.
Continuous learning keeps franchises effective. Market conditions change, competitors introduce new capabilities, and product roadmaps evolve. Regular enablement sessions ensure franchise teams maintain current knowledge and develop new skills for emerging challenges.

7.3 Leadership and Governance

Franchise models require appropriate leadership structure. Some organizations designate franchise leaders responsible for overall performance and team coordination. These leaders typically come from sales or customer success backgrounds and have authority to make franchise-level decisions. Other organizations maintain functional leadership with dotted-line accountability to franchise outcomes.
Governance frameworks prevent franchise models from creating organizational chaos. Cross-franchise leadership forums align on policies affecting multiple franchises, resolve resource conflicts, and share best practices. These bodies establish standards while allowing franchise autonomy within guardrails.
Executive sponsorship proves critical for franchise success. Cross-functional models challenge traditional organizational boundaries and require support from senior leadership. Executives must reinforce franchise priorities over functional optimization when conflicts arise.

8. IMPLEMENTATION CONSIDERATIONS

8.1 Phased Rollout

Organizations should avoid abrupt transitions to franchise models. Phased implementation allows learning and adjustment before full deployment. Many organizations start with pilot franchises covering specific segments or regions while maintaining existing structures elsewhere. Success in pilots builds confidence and provides proof points for broader rollout.
Pilot selection should balance learning opportunity with manageable risk. Starting with high-performing segments provides best chance of demonstrating value but limits learning about struggling scenarios. Conversely, piloting in challenged segments may show improvement but risks failure that undermines broader adoption.
Implementation timelines vary based on organizational size and complexity. Smaller organizations may complete rollout within quarters while enterprises require year-long transitions. Patience during implementation prevents rushing changes that create confusion and poor customer experiences.

8.2 Change Management

Franchise adoption requires significant cultural change. Representatives accustomed to functional identity must embrace cross-functional collaboration. Compensation adjustments create winners and losers, generating resistance from those perceiving disadvantages. Effective change management addresses concerns and builds commitment to new models.
Communication should emphasize benefits to both customers and employees. Customers receive coordinated experiences without handoff friction. Representatives gain broader influence over outcomes rather than feeling helpless when other functions underperform. Shared success creates opportunities for everyone.
Early adopter programs build momentum. Identifying enthusiastic representatives for initial franchises creates internal advocates who share success stories and help skeptical colleagues understand benefits. Their positive experiences provide credible testimonials more persuasive than executive messaging.

8.3 Measuring Success

Organizations must define success criteria before implementing franchise models. What outcomes justify the investment and disruption? Common success metrics include improved customer satisfaction scores, increased net revenue retention, faster time-to-value for new customers, higher expansion rates, and improved sales team satisfaction.
Baseline measurement before implementation enables accurate assessment. Organizations should capture current performance on key metrics then track changes as franchises deploy. Attribution challenges arise since market conditions and other initiatives also affect results, but directional trends provide valuable signals.

Success measurement should extend beyond financial metrics. Employee satisfaction surveys, customer feedback, and qualitative assessments of coordination quality all provide important context. Sometimes franchises improve collaboration and experience even before financial results appear.

9. COMMON CHALLENGES

Implementation efforts face predictable obstacles. Franchise models create matrix reporting where representatives have both functional managers and franchise accountability. This dual structure generates confusion about priorities, performance evaluation, and career development. Clear frameworks delineating functional versus franchise responsibilities help manage this complexity.

Resource allocation becomes contested when franchises compete for limited capacity. Multiple franchises may simultaneously need presales engineering support, marketing campaigns, or product roadmap priority. Organizations need mechanisms for prioritizing resource requests and managing franchise expectations when demand exceeds supply.

Franchise autonomy must balance with organizational consistency. While franchises should adapt to segment-specific needs, excessive variation creates operational complexity and poor customer experiences when customers interact with multiple franchises. Organizations should define non-negotiable standards while allowing appropriate customization.

Measurement and attribution complexity increases with franchise models. When multiple franchises touch the same customer or expansion opportunities arise from collaborative efforts, determining appropriate credit proves difficult. Organizations need clear attribution rules accepting that not all situations fit cleanly into predefined categories.

10. FUTURE EVOLUTION

Franchise models will likely evolve as organizations gain experience and technology enables new coordination patterns. AI-powered insights may automatically surface cross-franchise collaboration opportunities when patterns indicate potential synergies. Predictive analytics could optimize franchise composition by identifying ideal team size, skill mix, and specialization.

Dynamic franchise formation may emerge where teams assemble temporarily for specific customers or initiatives then dissolve when objectives complete. Rather than static franchise structures, organizations might deploy flexible pods that form and reform based on current priorities. This fluidity maximizes resource utilization while preserving collaboration benefits.

Customer journey complexity will drive continued franchise model refinement. As buying processes involve more stakeholders, touchpoints, and evaluations, coordinated experiences become increasingly difficult for functionally-siloed teams to deliver. Franchise models that unify customer-facing activities around outcomes rather than functions provide sustainable organizational approaches for managing this complexity.

11. CONCLUSION

Cross-functional GTM franchises address fundamental challenges in traditional sales organizational structures. By organizing teams around customer segments, product lines, or strategic accounts rather than sales functions, franchises enable coordinated experiences throughout the customer lifecycle. Effective franchise models require collective ownership through unified metrics, clear ownership boundaries, structured handoff protocols, and technology infrastructure supporting collaboration. Implementation demands careful planning including phased rollout, robust change management, and appropriate governance frameworks. While franchise models introduce complexity through matrix structures and resource allocation challenges, benefits including improved customer satisfaction, higher revenue retention, and enhanced team collaboration justify investment for organizations struggling with functional silos. Organizations considering franchise adoption should start with focused pilots in defined segments, measure outcomes rigorously, and iterate based on learning before broad deployment. The framework presented here provides practical guidance for sales leaders evaluating whether franchise models fit their organizational context and how to implement necessary coordination systems while maintaining appropriate functional expertise and efficiency.

REFERENCES:

[1] Demand Revenue, "Cross-Functional GTM Alignment: Your Playbook for Faster Growth and Stronger Retention," September 8, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.demandrevenue.com/cross-functional-gtm-alignment/ (Accessed: January 31, 2026).

[2] Go-to-Market Alliance, "How to achieve go-to-market alignment: A guide to GTM cross-collaboration," December 3, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.gotomarketalliance.com/how-to-achieve-go-to-market-alignment-a-guide-to-gtm-cross-collaboration/ (Accessed: January 31, 2026).

[3] Domestique, "Building GTM Teams: Marketing + Sales + Customer Success," November 4, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.domestique.info/resources/building-gtm-teams-marketing-sales-customer-success-empowered-by-revops (Accessed: January 31, 2026).

[4] Mina, "The Cross-Functional GTM Model: Why 2026 Will Be the Year of Alignment," Medium, October 23, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://medium.com/@daccord7/the-cross-functional-gtm-model-why-2026-will-be-the-year-of-alignment-ffbb921c30be (Accessed: January 31, 2026).

[5] Product Marketing Alliance, "How to lead a cross-functional product GTM strategy," October 15, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.productmarketingalliance.com/how-to-lead-a-cross-functional-product-go-to-market-strategy/ (Accessed: January 31, 2026).

[6] Go-to-Market Alliance, "Who's in your cross-functional Go-to-Market team?" February 29, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.gotomarketalliance.com/whos-in-your-cross-functional-go-to-market-team/ (Accessed: January 31, 2026).

[7] HR Future, "The Role of Cross-Functional Teams in a Winning GTM Strategy," April 30, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.hrfuture.net/strategy-operations/hr-trends-trending/the-role-of-cross-functional-teams-in-a-winning-gtm-strategy/ (Accessed: January 31, 2026).

[8] Heinz Marketing, "Why Your GTM Strategy Is Failing Without Cross-Functional Orchestration," April 2, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://www.heinzmarketing.com/blog/why-your-gtm-strategy-is-failing-without-cross-functional-orchestration/ (Accessed: January 31, 2026).

[9] Demandbase, "Go-To-Market Alignment: A 7-Step Approach to Driving Revenue Growth, Operational Efficiency, and Customer Satisfaction," June 2, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://www.demandbase.com/blog/gtm-alignment-7-steps/ (Accessed: January 31, 2026).

[10] Default, "GTM Strategy for SaaS: Step-by-Step Process & Examples," December 15, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://www.default.com/post/gtm-strategy-for-saas (Accessed: January 31, 2026).

[11] Mural, "Aligning Sales & Marketing: The CMO's Guide to Go-To-Market Success," March 3, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://www.mural.co/blog/the-cmo-guide-to-gtm-success (Accessed: January 31, 2026).

[12] Zigpoll, "How can a GTM leader effectively align cross-functional teams to ensure a user-centered approach throughout the product launch," (n.d.). [Online]. Available: https://www.zigpoll.com/content/how-can-a-gtm-leader-effectively-align-crossfunctional-teams-to-ensure-a-usercentered-approach-throughout-the-product-launch (Accessed: January 31, 2026).

[13] Elefante RevOps, "GTM Strategy: Complete Guide to Building a Winning Go-to-Market Plan," November 13, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://elefanterevops.com/blog/gtm-strategy-complete-guide-to-building-a-winning-go-to-market-plan (Accessed: January 31, 2026).

[14] Default, "7 Step Process For Managing the Sales-to-Customer Success Handoff," December 12, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://www.default.com/post/sales-to-customer-success-handoff (Accessed: January 31, 2026).

[15] N47, "Customer success is sales. And sales is customer success," (n.d.). [Online]. Available: https://www.n47.com/insights/customer-success-is-sales (Accessed: January 31, 2026).

[16] GTMfund, "The State of GTM Jobs: Customer Success," December 6, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://thegtmnewsletter.substack.com/p/the-state-of-gtm-jobs-customer-success (Accessed: January 31, 2026).

[17] CustomerThink, "3 Tips to Fix Broken Sales Handoffs," October 12, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://customerthink.com/3-tips-to-fix-broken-sales-handoffs/ (Accessed: January 31, 2026).

[18] Connected GTM, "How To Build Better Sales Handoffs In HubSpot," May 30, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.connectedgtm.com/p/how-to-build-better-sales-handoffs-in-hubspot (Accessed: January 31, 2026).
[19] Default, "What Is a Go-To-Market Strategy? The Complete Guide to Building a GTM Strategy in 2026," September 30, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://www.default.com/post/go-to-market-strategy (Accessed: January 31, 2026).
[20] Zendesk, "What is a go-to-market (GTM) strategy? How to build one in 11 steps," February 16, 2022. [Online]. Available: https://www.zendesk.com/blog/go-to-market-strategy/ (Accessed: January 31, 2026).